Effect of catalyst type on film forming properties of moisture curing polyurethane prepolymer

¹Altan A, ²Esen HE, ¹Arca M and ³Arca E

¹Caran Kimya San. ve Tic. Ltd. Şti., Izmir, Turkey ²Vocational High School, BiruniUniversity, Istanbul, Turkey ³Faculty of Engineering Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

Corresponding author: aysun.altan@gmail.com

| Received on: 31/01/2022 | Accepted on: 11/05/2022 | Published on: 17/05/2022 |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | |

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study was aimed to investigate effect of catalyst type on curing behavior, morphology, mechanical and surface properties of a moisture curing polyurethane (MCPU) prepolymer.

Method and Materials: The prepolymer was prepared by the reaction of polypropylene glycol and methylene diphenyl diisocyanate (MDI). It was cured using 2-Dimorpholinodiethylether (DMDEE) andDibutyltindilaurate (DBTL) at 25°C, and relative humidity of 45%. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was used to monitor the curing rate. Morphology of the MCPU was evaluated by tapping mode Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM). The results showed that the orientation of hard segments within the matrix of soft segments was influenced by the choice of curing catalyst.

Results: The effect of the catalyst on surface properties was analyzed by contact angle measurements and surface free energy (SFE) calculations. Elongation, tensile strength and elastic modulus measurements were performed in order to analyze the mechanical properties.

Conclusion: It was concluded that surface free energy and mechanical properties of MCPU differentiate dependingon the type of curing catalyst.

Keywords: Catalyst effect, curing rate, mechanical properties, morphology, surface free energy, polyurethanes.

How to cite this article: Altan A, Esen HE, Arca M and Arca E (2022). Effect of catalyst type on film forming properties of moisture curing polyurethane prepolymer. J. Chem. Res. Adv., 03(01): 15-20.

Introduction

Polyurethanes segmented copolymers. are Urethane bonds are formed by the reaction of isocyanates and polyols. Urethane blocks are called hard segments of the polyurethane chains and they are higher in polarity. Soft segments of the polyurethanes with lower polarity are formed by the polyol components (Szycher, 2013). It is possible to create polyurethane materials in enormous variety by changing the properties of hard and soft segments (Zhu et al., 2017, Nakamae et al., 1996, Ni et al., 2002, Miller et al., 1985, Sun et al., 2018, Gogoi et al., 2014 and Janik and Vancso, 2005). Isocyanates and NCO terminated polyurethane prepolymers can be cured by the reaction with active hydrogen containing materials including water. By taking the advantage of isocyanate-water reaction many moisture curing polyurethane (MCPU) systems are designed.

Isocyanates and NCO terminated polyurethane prepolymers can be cured by the reaction with active hydrogen containing materials including water. By taking the advantage of isocyanate-water reaction many moisture curing polyurethane (MCPU) systems are designed. MCPU is one of the significant type of polyurethanes for coatings industry due to their good adhesion, abrasion resistance, thermal stability, hardness, chemical and solvent resistance. All these properties are related to the morphology of the polyurethanes that is affected by structure, length, polarity of hard and soft segments. Distribution of hard and soft segments during curing process (Fig 1) has a crucial impact on morphology and thus performance parameters of polyurethane coatings (Szycher, 2013, Prisacariu et al., 2011, Jiang et al., 2018 and Rath et al., 2008). Formation of three dimensional polyurethane matrix and the interaction between the soft and hard segments change with curing in time (Zhu et al., 2017 and Lepene et al., 2002).

Curing time of MCPU can be altered by using catalysts. It is has been known that amine and

Copyright: Altan et al. Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



Fig 1. Moisture curing reaction of NCO-terminated polyurethane prepolymer

metal catalysts used to catalyze the reactions between isocyanates and active hydrogen compounds. There are many tertiary amine and tin catalysts available for carrying out the synthesis and crosslinking of MCPU (Szycher, 2013, Ning et al., 1997, Arnould et al., 2020, Gogoi et al., 2012 and Mukherjea and Saha, 1980).

The effect of metallic and organic catalysts on the cross linking rate and mechanical properties of polyurethane prepolymers was reported that the type of catalyst has an impact on chemical and physical network structure of MDI based moisture curing polyurethanes which are extended with inorganic fillers (Arnould et al., 2020).

It was investigated the impact of catalysts on curing behavior, phase morphology and surface properties of a moisture curing polyurethane prepolymer which is the reaction product of isophorone diisocyanate (IPDI) and hydroxyl terminated polybutadiene (HTPB). They suggested that the interdomain distance of hard segments are influenced by the choice of catalysts and the polar group concentrations on the surface increase with increasing efficiency of catalysts (Prisacariu et al., 2011). The 2-Dimorpholinodiethyl ether (DMDEE; Fig. 2a) and Dibutyltindilaurate (DBTL; Fig. 2b) were used to accelarate the curing rate of a polyurethane prepolymer, made up of methylene diphenyl diisocyanate and polypropylene glycol. The effect of the type and the amount of catalyst on the curing time of the prepolymer, mechanical and surface properties of the cured polyurethane films were studied.



Materials and Methods

The isocyanate was the mixture of 4,4'- methylene diphenyl diisocyanate and 2,4'-methylene diphenyl diisocyanate and purchased from Wanhua Chemical Group Co, Ltd. Two types of polypropylene glycols (MW: 2000 g, f: 2 and MW: 450 g, f: 3) were supplied from Shell Chemicals. The catalysts DBTL and DMDEE were purchased from Borchers and Huntsman Performance Products respectively. Materials were used without any further purification.

Synthesis and Curing of Prepolymer

The prepolymer was synthesized under nitrogen blanket, at 60 °C, in 2L glass reactor with mechanical stirrer, temperature probe and nitrogen inlets. The molar ratio of NCO to OH groups was 1, 9: 1. The final NCO content of the prepolymer was determined as 3,52 % by the back titration of dibutylamine with normalized HCI solution. The titration method was applied in accordance with ISO 14896. Prepared prepolymer was cured with 0, 15 % DMDEE (Sample A) and % 0, 15 DBTL (Sample B) separately. Their curing rate was monitored with FTIR measurements.

FTIR Analysis

FTIR analyses were carried out with Alpha II Platinum FT-IR Spectrometerwith Platinum Diamond ATR (Bruker) in the optical range of 4000 cm⁻¹ - 400 cm⁻¹, by averaging 12 scans.

AFM Measurement

AFM measurements were performed in the tapping mode with Flex Axiom AFM from Nanosurf AG, Switzerland. Samples were scanned in the area of 50 X 50μ m and the phase images were recorded in 2D and 3D.

Surface Free Energy (SFE) Measurement

Static contact angle measurements of water, glycerol and liquid paraffin were performed by using a computer controlled homemade experimental setup which is equipped with a video camera. The measured contact angles and the surface tensions of the given liquids were used for the calculation of SFE of the cured prepolymers. SFE calculations were conducted with Matlab.

Results and Discussion

The effect of catalysts on the curing rate of prepolymers was investigated with FTIR spectroscopy. The decreasing rate of the NCO concentration in the sample is an indication of the curing rate of the prepolymers. In order to analyze the change in the NCO amount in time, the area of the FTIR absorption band which is attributed to NCO (Fig. 3) was integrated between 2220 and 2320 cm⁻¹ and interpreted in terms of percentage by using Equation 1.



Fig 3. Changes in the FTIR absorption peak of NCO group during curing reaction

Area (%) = [Area (t0)/Area (tn)]x100Equation 1where Area (t₀) is the area of the FTIR absorption band of NCO at the initial time, and Area (t_n) is the area of the same band at a certain time.

The change in the area of the absorption band of NCO group in 120 minutes for both systems was represented (Fig. 4). The decay profiles displayed that the concentration of NCO decreased linearly in Sample A while it was decreasing polynomially in Sample B in the same period of time. The equations and R^2 values obtained from regression of these profiles were given (Table 1). From the slope of the decay graphs, it was observed that decreasing rate of NCO concentration in Sample B was higher compared to Sample A which means that film formation and viscosity gaining of Sample B was faster than Sample A.



Fig 4. Change in the area of the absorption band of NCO group

Table 1. Regression equations and R^2 values for the decrease of the area of NCO peak $% R^{2}$

| Sample | Catalyst | Regression Equation | R ² |
|--------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| A | DMDEE | y = -0.2984x + 100.48 | 0.996 |
| В | DBTL | $y = 0.0012x^2 - 0.4882x +$ | 0.995 |
| | | 101.08 | |

The viscosity change rate affects the alignment of the hard segments within the systems. If the viscosity of the system builds up rapidly, mobility of the hard segments is hindered. The hard segments which cannot find enough time to diffuse away from the bulk are dispersed among the soft segments.

The differences in the distribution of the hard segments sample films were monitored with AFM imaging. In the AFM images, hard segments appeared as lighter areas and the rest represents the continuous matrix of soft segments (Pergal et al., 2013 and Chattopathyay and Raju, 2007). The analysis of AFM images demonstrated that the hard segments of Sample A were aligned at specific areas. On the other hand, the hard segments in Sample B were dispersed more evenly within the soft segments. The difference in the phase morphology of Sample A and Sample B were relevant to their viscosity building up rate.

The mechanical and surface properties which were observed (Table 2 and Table 3) in accordance with the phase morphology of the samples monitored with the AFM images.

It was known that the mechanical properties of the polyurethanes were primarily dependent on the hard segment content (Szycher, 2013 and Chattopathyay and Raju, 2007). Although Sample A and Sample B having the same composition except the type of catalyst, they indicated different mechanical properties (**Table 2**). The distinction between the mechanical properties of Sample A and B was associated with the degree of ordering of hard segments during the curing reaction. It was proved with the AFM images, the hard segments of Sample B indicated higher segment mixing which makes it more resistant to mechanical stress resulting in higher elastic modulus and lower elongation values. Higher degree of ordering of hard segment in Sample A causes higher elongation and lower elastic modulus values.

| Table 2. Mechanical properties of Sample A and Sample B | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Sample | Tensile Strength | Elastic Modulus | Elongation at | | | |
| | at break | [MPa] | break [%] | | | |
| | [N mm ⁻²] | | | | | |
| А | 6 | 4.2 | 1042 | | | |
| B | 61 | 6.5 | 785 | | | |

Table 3. Surface free energy estimations of the polyurethane films (Sample A and B)

| Sample | Surface Free Energy [mJ m ⁻²] | | | | | |
|--------|---|------|------|---------|--|--|
| | Fowkes | Owrk | Wu | Neumann | | |
| А | 45.1 | 45.0 | 46.2 | 49.7 | | |
| В | 31.0 | 30.2 | 33.1 | 44.3 | | |

Since the surface free energy of the materials cannot be measured directly, models that based on the contact angle measurements have been proposed. In our study, glycerol, water and liquid paraffin were used for contact angle measurements. Fowkes, Wu, Owrk and Neumann models were employed for the surface free energy estimations.

It was noticable that surface free energy of Sample A was higher than Sample B regardless of the calculation method. The surface energy measurement results were consistent with the analysis of AFM images. The polar hard segments of Sample A were available at the air interface of the film surface as detected on the AFM images that results in higher surface free energy. Surface free energy of Sample B was lower since the hard segments were dispersed in the matrix of soft segments away from the air interface.



Fig 5a. AFM images of Sample A





Open access



Fig 5b. AFM images of Sample B

Conclusion

It was concluded that one of the most important factors affect the curing rate and the extent of cross linking of MCPUs is the type of catalyst. The choice of catalyst thoroughly has a crucial impact on the mechanical, morphological and surface properties of MCPU. While designing a MCPU system, it is a prerequisite to take into account the type of the curing catalyst in order customize the properties of the material.

Reference

- Arnould P, Bosco L, Sanz F, Simon FN, Fouquay S, Michaud G, Raynaud J and Monteil V (2020). Identifying competitive tin- or metal-free catalyst combinations to tailor polyurethane prepolymer and network properties. Polym. Chem. 11: 5725-5734.
- Chattopadhyay DK, Prasad PSR, Sreedhar B and Raju KVSN (2005). The phase mixing of moisture cured polyurethane-urea during cure. Prog. Org. Coat., 54 (4): 296-304.
- Chattopathyay DK and Raju KVSN (2007). Structural engineering of polyurethane coatings for high performance applications. Prog. Poly. Sci., 32: 352-418.
- Gogoi R, Alam MS and Khandal RK (2014). Effect of increasing NCO/OH molarratio on the physical, mechanical and thermal properties of isocyanate terminated polyurethane prepolymer. International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 3: 118-123.
- Gogoi R, Niyogi UK, Alam MS and Mehra DS (2012). Effect of Organometallic and Tertiary Amine Catalyst on the Properties of Polyurethane Prepolymer. J. Polym. Mater., 29: 451-462.
- Janik H and Vancso J (2005). The influence of hard segment cross linking on the morphology and mechanical properties of segmented

poly(ester-urethanes).Polimery,50: 139-142.

- Jena KK, Chattopadhyay DK and Raju KVSN (2007). Synthesis and characterization of hyperbranched polyurethane-ureacoatings. Eur. Polym. J. 43: 1825-1837.
- Jiang L, Ren Z, Zhao W, Liu W, Liu H and Zhu C (2018).Synthesis and structure/properties characterizations of four polyurethane model hard segments. R. Soc. Open Sci. 5 (7): 180536-180546.
- Lepene BS, Long TE, Meyer A and Kranbuehl DE (2002). Moisture-Curing Kinetics of Isocyanate Prepolymer Adhesives. The Journal of Adhesion, 78: 297-312.
- Lomölder R, Plogmann F and Speler P (1997). Selectivity of isophorone diisocyanate in the urethane reaction influence of temperature, catalysis, and reaction partners, Journal of Coatings Technology, 69: 51- 57.
- Miller JA, Lin SB, Hwang KKS, Wu KS, Gibson PE and Cooper SL (1985). Properties of polyether-polyurethaneblockcopolymers: effects of hard segmentlengthdistribution. Macromolecules,18: 32-44.
- Mukherjea RN and Saha KK (1980). Moisture-cured polyurethane based on polyester and polycarbonate polyols. Journal of Applied Science, 25: 2699- 2710.
- Nakamae K, Nishino T, Asaoka S and Sudaryanto S (1996). Microphase separation and surface properties of segmented polyurethane – Effect of hard segment content. Int. J. Adhesion and Adhesives, 16 (4): 233- 239.
- Ni H, Nash H A, Worden JG and Soucek MD (2002). Effect of catalysts on thereaction of an aliphaticisocyanateandwater. Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry, 40(11): 1677-1688.

- Ning L, De-Ning W and Sheng- Kang Y (1997). Hydrogen-Bonding Properties of Segmented Polyether Poly(urethaneurea) Copolymer. Macromolecules, 30: 4405-4409.
- Pergal MV, Dzunuzovic JV, Poreba R, Micic D, Stefanov P, Pezo L and Spirkova M (2013). Surface and thermomechanical characterization of polyurethane networks based on poly(dimethylsiloxane) and hyperbranched polyester. Express Polymer Letters, 7: 806-820.
- Prisacariu C, Scortanu E, Stoica I, Agapie B and Barboiu V (2011). Morphological features and thermal and mechanical response in segmented polyurethane elastomers based on mixtures of isocyanates.Polymer Journal, 43: 613- 620.
- Rath SK, Ishack AM, Suryavansi UG, Chandrasekhar L and Patri M (2008). Phase morphology and surface properties of moisturecuredpolyurethane-urea (MCPU) coatings: Effect of catalysts. Prog. Org. Coat., 62: 393-399.

- Sun L, Zong Z, Xue W and Zeng Z (2018). Mechanismandkinetics of moisturecuringprocess of reactive hot melt polyurethane adhesive. Chemical Eng. Journal Advances, 4: 100051-100059.
- Szycher M (2013). Szycher Handbook of Polyurethanes (2nd Edn.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
- Tan C, Tirri T and Wilen CE (2017). Investigation on the influence of chain extenders on the performance of one-component moisturecurable polyurethane adhesives, Polymers, 9: 184.
- Yılgör İ and Yılgör E (2020). Polyurethanes: Design, synthesis and structure-property behavior of versatile materials. Hacettepe J. Biol. & Chem., 48: 425 - 445.
- Zhu R, Wang X, Yang J, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Hou Y, Lin F and Li Y (2017). Influence of hard segments on thethermal, phaseseparatedmorphology, mechanical, and biological properties of polycarbonate urethanes. Appl. Sci., 7(3): 306- 320.
